

United States Part and Trademark Office

5M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/077,924	02/20/2002	Tsuneyasu Nohara	023971-0110	023971-0110 6992	
22428	7590 09/26/2002				
	D LARDNER	EXAMINER			
SUITE 500 3000 K STRI	EET NW	HUYNH, HAI H			
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20007		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3747		
			DATE MAILED: 09/26/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ų.					S.M·				
b		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)					
		10/077,924		NOHARA ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Hai H. Huynh		3747					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or te to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, he within the statutory will apply and will expert cause the application	owever, may a reply be time minimum of thirty (30) days ire SIX (6) MONTHS from to n to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	 •							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is nor	-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	ղ.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consid	eration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 20</u> is/are rejected.								
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>19</u> is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a)[☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 									
Attachment(s)									
2) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u>	5) [Interview Summary Notice of Informal P Other:						

i

Application/Control Number: 10/077,924

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Inventorship

1. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 2

⁽e) the invention was described in-

⁽¹⁾ an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

⁽²⁾ a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/077,924

Art Unit: 3747

2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nagasaka et al (6,039,029).

Nagasaka et al teach an intake system of an internal combustion engine comprising a collector 79, fixedly connected directly to either of a side wall of a cylinder head and a collector mounting bracket covering the intake port opening end portions of a plurality of intake ports opening through the side wall, and a plurality of intake manifold branches respectively communicating with the plurality of intake ports and protruded into an interior space of the collector (see Figure 2).

Page 3

3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Uchida (6,269,797).

Uchida teaches an intake system of an internal combustion engine comprising a collector 54, fixedly connected directly to either of a side wall of a cylinder head and a collector mounting bracket covering the intake port opening end portions of a plurality of intake ports opening through the side wall, and a plurality of intake manifold branches respectively communicating with the plurality of intake ports and protruded into an interior space of the collector (see Figures 1 & 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/077,924

Art Unit: 3747

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. Claims 8, 14-18, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagasaka et al (6,039,029) in view of Murata et al (5,931,128).
 - Nagasaka et al teach the claimed limitations except for a variable valve actuation. Murata et al teach the variable valve mechanism. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the variable valve mechanism as taught by Murata et al in order to reduce exhaust emissions.
- 6. Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagasaka et al (6,039,029) in view of Murata et al (5,931,128) as applied above, and further in view of Matsumoto et al (6,267,092).
 - Nagasaka et al in view of Murata et al teach the claimed invention except for a pressure control valve. Matsumoto et al teach a pressure control valve 41.

 Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the pressure control valve as taught by Matsumoto et al in order to improve the induction system of the engine.
- 7. Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagasaka et al (6,039,029) in view of Murata et al (5,931,128) and Matsumoto et al (6,267,092) as applied to claims 9-11 above, and further in view of Kimura (5,794,602).

 Nagasaka et al in view of Murata et al and Matsumoto et al teach the claimed imitations except for blow-by gases are recirculated into the collector. Kimura

Page 5

teach blow-by gases are recirculated into the collector. Therefore it would have

been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to employ the blow-by gases recirculation as taught by Kimura in order to

reduce exhaust emissions.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 19 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hai H. Huynh whose telephone number is (703) 306-

9183. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30

am to 6:00 pm.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned are (703) 308-7766.

Hai H. Huynh Examiner

Art Unit 3747

HHH

September 24, 2002

Toy M. Argenbright Primary Examiner Art Unit 3747

Huyukhar